



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,691	06/23/2003	Sidharth Jaggi	MCS-021-03 (302967.01)	7627
7590	02/04/2008			
Mark A. Watson			EXAMINER	
Lyon & Harr			BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R	
Suite 800				
300 Esplanade Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Oxnard, CA 93030			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/601,691	JAGGI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Benjamin R. Bruckart	2155

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Benjamin R. Bruckart. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Watson, Reg. No. 41,370. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Allhsweide.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



SALEM NAJJAR  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant and examiner discussed the finer mathematical points between Alhsweide and the instant invention. The applicant explained there is a difference between the symbols of the application and the bit rates of Alhsweide. The examiner suggested applicant explain such "well known" meanings of the terms in the claims for clarity purposes, fix a 101 with the system claim, and explain how the representation vectors of the instant application are now the R values of the alhsweide reference. The applicant conveyed the main difference is these representation vectors as they are defined in the spec but the examiner requires more explicit and clear meaning in the claim terminology. Applicant said he would be more explicit with the definition of full rank in the claim language which would not be more limiting in scope because it would be more clear in defining what such term means..